

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/970,649	JONSSON ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
San-ming Hui	1617	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) San-ming Hui. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Naomi Biswas, applicant's representative. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 January 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Ms. Biswas informed the Examiner that no reply was filed to the outstanding office action mailed June 27, 2006 in favor of prosecuting the continuation application.*
**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)